

Targeting TIGIT: Can small molecules do the job? Gibran Biswas¹ and David Ryan Koes²

CD8+ T cells are a group of cytotoxic T-cells that kill cancerous or damaged cells. They identify their targets through the interactions between the CD8 protein on their plasma membrane and the target cell's MHCI protein.

Some cancer cells can inhibit this immune response by expression of the proteins PVR (CD155) and PD-L1 on their surface. The T cell binds to PVR with the TIGIT protein and to PD-L1 with the PD-1 protein. These interactions downregulate the immune response and allow the cancer cells to continue to grow.

In this project we apply computational modeling towards the identification of the first small molecule inhibitor of the TIGIT/PVR interaction.

TIGIT/PVR Although the interaction can be disrupted by an antibody molecule is [1], a small desirable from more therapeutic standpoint. Compared to an antibody $TNF\alpha$ therapy, small molecules (drugs) are typically less expensive, simpler to administer, and do not risk immune triggering an response.





The TIGIT/PVR complex has been resolved through X-ray crystallography [2]. This static structure has a classical large flat protein-protein and with no obvious interface pockets that a small molecule can bind to.

In order to rationally target the TIGIT/PVR interaction with a small molecule, we computationally explored the dynamics of the TIGIT protein to identify a putative druggable allosteric pocket. Fragment docking and pharmacophore search were then used to virtually screen commercially available molecules and identify a 1µM inhibitor.

References

[1] Johnston RJ, Comps-Agrar L, Hackney J, Yu X, Huseni M, Yang Y, Park S, Javinal V, Chiu H, Irving B, Eaton DL. The

immunoreceptor TIGIT regulates antitumor and antiviral CD8+ T cell effector function. Cancer cell. 2014 Dec 8;26(6):923-37. [2] Stengel KF, Harden-Bowles K, Yu X, Rouge L, Yin J, Comps-Agrar L, Wiesmann C, Bazan JF, Eaton DL, Grogan JL. Structure of

TIGIT immunoreceptor bound to poliovirus receptor reveals a cell–cell adhesion and signaling mechanism that requires cis-trans receptor clustering. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2012 Mar 15:201120606. [3] Schmidtke P, Bidon-Chanal A, Luque FJ, Barril X. MDpocket: open-source cavity detection and characterization on molecular

dynamics trajectories. Bioinformatics. 2011 Oct 3;27(23):3276-85. [4] Koes DR, Baumgartner MP, Camacho CJ. Lessons learned in empirical scoring with smina from the CSAR 2011 benchmarking

exercise. Journal of chemical information and modeling. 2013 Feb 12;53(8):1893-904. [5] Sunseri J, Koes DR. Pharmit: interactive exploration of chemical space. Nucleic acids research. 2016 Apr 19;44(W1):W442-8.

[6] Trott O, Olson AJ. AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. Journal of computational chemistry. 2010 Jan 30;31(2):455-61.

[7] Ragoza M, Hochuli J, Idrobo E, Sunseri J, Koes DR. Protein-Ligand scoring with Convolutional neural networks. Journal of chemical information and modeling. 2017 Apr 11;57(4):942-57.

¹Pittsburgh Science and Technology Academy, ²Department of Computational & Systems Biology

1. Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Multiple 100ns simulations of the TIGIT monomer in explicit water were performed using AMBER in order to characterize the dynamics of the protein.

2. Pocket Analysis

Applying MDPocket [3] to the simulations identified a single significant pocket that was removed from the PVR interface site.



4. Pharmacophore Virtual Screening

The selected fragments were used to define multiple 3D pharmacophores in Pharmit [5]. The MolPort library of >7 million commercially available compounds was screened for matches.



5. Scoring and Ranking

Matching compounds were energy minimized, scored and ranked with respect to an ensemble of receptor snapshots using both the empirica AutoDock Vina [6] scoring function and our convolutional neura network (CNN) scoring function [7] Top ranked compounds for each scoring routine were selected.



7. Biochemical Protein Interaction Assay

An AlphaLISA assay was performed by BPS Bioscience to measure the ability of the top ranked compounds to inhibit the TIGIT/PVR interaction. Two compounds exhibited inhibition at 100µM. Compound 1, the compound top-ranked by CNN scoring, achieved a 1µM IC50 in the primary assay while having no meaningful effect in the assay control (PD-1:PD-L1).





Supported by R01GM108340 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences.



3. Fragment Docking and Selection

Small molecular fragments (benzene, ethyne, and water) were docked with smina [4] into the putative pocket in multiple simulation snapshots. The fragments with high frequency and predicted affinity were selected as representing potential interactions.



						_				
	Name	Name			<u>CNN</u> Δffinity			<u>N</u> ore		Vina
	Interine									<u>• 1110</u>
	Comp	Compound 1			7.70			0.9948		85.95
	Comp	Compound 2			5.58			180		-8.13
	Comp	Compound 3			6.74			0.0625		-9.82
	Comp	Compound 4 Compound 5 Compound 6 Compound 7 Compound 8			6.88 6.33 5.69			0.9535 0.2098 0.0437		-3.81 -8.60
	Comp									
	Comp									-8.99
	Comp				.37	0.022		-9.35		
	Comp				4.81			0.072		-6.82
	Comp	Compound 9			5.22			0.032		-6.26
	Compound 10			6.67			0.361			6.11
							ted	-oss		
I 2×64	k Pooling	5x64	volution	ear Unit	×I 28		Fully Connec	Pseudo-Huber I		Affinity
12X12X	x2 Max	x2 Max 6x6x(Rectified Lin	6х6х6		lected	stic Loss		Pose



8. Cellular Assay

Results of cell-based testing were inconclusive. The first trial exhibited an EC50 of 11µM, but subsequent trials failed to show any activity.



What's Next?

We are attempting to procure the resources needed to construct our own in-house suite of assays to properly validate, characterize, and optimize the current lead compound. It is important to validate the molecular target of the compound with direct binding assays and better understand its effect on cells. We welcome any collaborations in this pursuit.



