

# Introduction

The chemical shifts of a molecular dynamics trajectory can be obtained from de novo quantum mechanical (QM) calculations performed on localized regions surrounding the atoms of interest. The ensemble average of these calculated chemical shifts can be compared to the chemical shifts obtained from NMR spectroscopy to get an estimate of the accuracy of the simulation [1].

We quantify the errors associated with the backbone atoms in MD simulations of seven model proteins. A library of regional conformers containing 261,587 members was constructed from molecular dynamics simulations of the model proteins. The chemical shifts associated with the backbone atoms in each of these conformers was obtained from QM calculations using density functional theory at the B3LYP level with a 6-311+G(2d,p) basis set. Chemical shifts were assigned to each backbone atom in each MD simulation frame using a template matching approach. The ensemble average of these chemical shifts was then compared to chemical shifts from NMR spectroscopy.

All force fields exhibit errors, and 1H atoms display a particularly prominent systematic error. Different force fields exhibit consistent differences in errors that map directly to residue specific differences in conformational sampling. We find that recent force fields developed with implicitly polarized charge model generate the trajectories with the lowest average error, but no single force field is clearly best.

# Background

**Chemical Shifts.** The chemical shift of an atom is the change in resonant frequency of the nuclei with respect to a standard. As the resonant frequency is determined by the local electronic environment,



chemical shift values provide an experimentally measurable indicator of the local chemical environment of individual atoms of a protein.



Molecular Molecular dynamics. simulations numerically dynamics Newton's equations of integrate motion to derive a trajectory of atomic coordinates.

Force fields. Molecular mechanics force fields determine the potential energy of a molecular system and usually consist of covalent (bond/angle/dihedral) and noncovalent (electrostatic/van der Waals) terms. If a force field accurately estimates the potential energy of a system, then molecular dynamics simulations computed using the force field will have realistic dynamics that correspond to experimental observables. In this work we evaluate force fields from the Amber family of force fields (in approximate chronological order): ff94[2], ff96[3], ff03[4], ff99SB[5], ff99SBildn[6], ff99SBnmr[7], ff14SB[8], ff14ipq[9], and ff15ipq[10].

## References

[1] Koes, David R., and John K. Vries. "Error assessment in molecular dynamics trajectories using computed NMR chemical shifts." Computational and Theoretical Chemistry 1099 (2017): 152-166. [2] Cornell, Wendy D., et al. "A second generation force field for the simulation of proteins, nucleic acids, and organic molecules." Journal of the American Chemical Society 117.19 (1995): 5179-5197.[3] Kollman, Peter, et al. "The development/application of a 'minimalist'organic/ biochemical molecular mechanic force field using a combination of ab initio calculations and experimental data." Computer simulation of biomolecular systems. Springer Netherlands, 1997. 83-96. [4] Duan, Yong, et al. "A point-charge force field for molecular mechanics simulations of proteins based on condensed-phase quantum mechanical calculations." Journal of computational chemistry 24.16 (2003) [5] Hornak, Viktor, et al. "Comparison of multiple Amber force fields and development of improved protein backbone parameters." Proteins:

Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics 65.3 (2006): 712-725.

[6] Lindorff-Larsen, Kresten, et al. "Improved side-chain torsion potentials for the Amber ff99SB protein force field." *Proteins: Structure, Function,* and Bioinformatics 78.8 (2010): 1950-1958. [7] Li, Da-Wei, and Rafael Brüschweiler. "NMR-based protein potentials."

Angewandte Chemie International Edition 49.38 (2010): 6778-6780. [8] Maier, James A., et al. "ff14SB: improving the accuracy of protein side chain and backbone parameters from ff99SB." Journal of chemical theory and computation 11.8 (2015): 3696-3713.

[9] Cerutti, David S., et al. "ff14ipg: a self-consistent force field for condensed-phase simulations of proteins." Journal of chemical theory and computation 10.10 (2014): 4515-4534

[10] Debiec, Karl T., et al. "Further along the Road Less Traveled: AMBER ff15ipq, an Original Protein Force Field Built on a Self-Consistent Physical Model." Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 12.8 (2016): 3926-3947.









![](_page_0_Figure_24.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_26.jpeg)